arXiv:1611.02484v1 [math.FA] 8 Nov 2016

# Best Proximity Point Theorems for Asymptotically Relatively Nonexpansive Mappings

S. Rajesh[1] and P. Veeramani

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras

Chennai - 600036, India

e-mail: srajeshiitmdt@gmail.com, pvmani@iitm.ac.in

**Abstract**

Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex proximal parallel pair in a nearly uniformly convex Banach space and $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be a continuous and asymptotically relatively nonexpansive map. We prove that there exists $x \in A \cup B$ such that $\|x - Tx\| = dist(A, B)$ whenever $T(A) \subseteq B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$. Also, we establish that if $T(A) \subseteq A$ and $T(B) \subseteq B$, then there exist $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ such that $Tx = x$, $Ty = y$ and $\|x - y\| = dist(A, B)$. We prove the aforesaid results when the pair $(A, B)$ has the rectangle property and property $UC$. In case of $A = B$, we obtain, as a particular case of our results, the basic fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive maps by Goebel and Kirk.

**Keywords**: Asymptotically nonexpansive maps, Best proximity points, Proximal pairs, Relatively nonexpansive maps, Property $UC$.

**AMS Subject Classification**: 47H09, 47H10.

## 1 Introduction

Let $A$ and $B$ be nonempty weakly compact convex subsets of a Banach space $X$. Suppose $T$ is a relatively nonexpansive self-map on $A \cup B$. Assume that $T$ maps $A$ into $B$ and $B$ into $A$. Then it was proved, under suitable assumptions, that there exists a point $x$ in $A$ such that the distance between $x$ and $Tx$ is equal to the distance between $A$ and $B$; see Theorem 2.1 in [2].

[1]This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor and Francis in [Numerical functional analysis and optimization] on [29 Dec 2015], available online: http://wwww.tandfonline.com/[DOI: 10.1080/01630563.2015.1079533].

Also, it was shown, under suitable assumptions, that there exist $x$ in $A$ and $y$ in $B$ such that $x$ and $y$ are fixed points of $T$ and the distance between $x$ and $y$ is equal to the distance between $A$ and $B$ whenever $T$ maps $A$ into $A$ and $B$ into itself; see Theorem 2.2 in [2]. For recent advancements in the theory of best proximity points, one may refer to [5, 6, 10, 11] and the references therein.

If $A$ is equal to $B$, then we obtain the well known Browder-Göhde-Kirk fixed point theorem, as a special case of the above mentioned results. A good account of metric fixed point theory can be found in [1, 8, 9].

Note that the notion of asymptotically nonexpansive maps, which is an interesting generalization of nonexpansive maps, was introduced in [7]. Also, it was proved in [7] that if $K$ is a nonemtpy bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space and $T$ is an asymptotically nonexpansive self map on $K$, then there exists $x$ in $K$ such that $x$ is a fixed point of $T$. This result was further extended to nearly uniformly convex (NUC) Banach spaces by Xu [14].

In this paper, by observing the notions of relatively nonexpansive maps and asymptotically nonexpansive maps, we define a concept called asymptotically relatively nonexpansive maps and study, under suitable assumptions, the existence of best proximity points of such maps. Also, we introduce the notion of proximal parallel pairs having rectangle property and establish the existence of a best proximity point for an asymptotically relatively nonexpansive map on a proximal parallel pair having rectangle property in a nearly uniformly convex Banach space. We also prove, under suitable assumptions, a fixed point theorem for an asymptotically relatively nonexpansive map defined on a proximal pair.

# 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce the notions of asymptotically relatively nonexpansive maps and proximal parallel pairs having rectangle property. Also, we give definitions and results related to this work.

**Definition 2.1** *[8] A Banach space $X$ is said to have uniformly Kadec-Klee (UKK) norm if and only if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\{x_n\} \subseteq B[0,1],\ x_n \text{ converges weakly to } x_0, \text{ and}$$
$$sep\{x_n\} := \inf\{\|x_n - x_m\| : n \neq m\} > \epsilon,$$

*imply that*

$$\|x_0\| \leq 1 - \delta.$$

**Definition 2.2** *[8] A Banach space $X$ is said to be nearly uniformly convex (NUC) if and only if $X$ is a reflexive space having UKK norm.*

**Definition 2.3** *[2, 4] Let $A$ and $B$ be nonempty subsets of a Banach space $X$. The pair $(A, B)$ is said to be a proximal pair if and only if for each $(x, y)$ in $A \times B$, there exists $(x_1, y_1)$ in $A \times B$ such that $\|x - y_1\| = dist(A, B) = \|y - x_1\|$.*

*In addition, if for each $(x, y) \in A \times B$, $(x_1, y_1) \in A \times B$ is a unique pair such that $\|x - y_1\| = dist(A, B)$ and $\|y - x_1\| = dist(A, B)$, then we say $(A, B)$ is a sharp proximal pair.*

**Definition 2.4** *[4] Let $A$ and $B$ be nonempty subsets of a Banach space $X$. The pair $(A, B)$ is said to be a proximal parallel pair if and only if*

1. *$(A, B)$ is a sharp proximal pair and*

2. *there exists a unique $h \in X$ such that $B = A + h$.*

*In this case, $a + h$ is called the proximal point in $B$ to $a$, for any $a \in A$.*

**Remark 2.1** *Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty proximal pair in a Banach space $X$. It was shown in [4] that if $X$ is a strictly convex Banach space, then $(A, B)$ is a proximal parallel pair.*

*In fact, the strict convexity of a Banach space is characterized as follows:*

*$X$ is a strictly convex Banach space if and only if $(A, B)$ is a nonempty bounded closed convex proximal pair implies that there exists a unique $h \in X$ such that $B = A + h$.*

**Proof** Suppose for every nonempty bounded closed convex proximal pair $(A, B)$ in a Banach space $X$ there exists a unique $h \in X$ such that $B = A + h$. Now, it is claimed that $X$ is a strictly convex Banach space.

Suppose $X$ is not a strictly convex Banach space. Then there exist distict points $x$ and $y$ in $X$ such that $\|x\| = 1 = \|y\|$ and $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| = 1$. It is also apparent that $\|\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y\| = 1$, for every $\alpha \in ]0,1[$.

Let $A = \{0\}$ and $B = \{\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y : \alpha \in [0,1]\}$. Then $(A,B)$ is a nonempty bounded closed convex proximal pair in $X$. But $B \neq A + h$, for any $h \in X$. Thus $X$ is a strictly convex space. □

**Definition 2.5** *[7] Let $K$ be a nonempty subset of a Banach space $X$. A mapping $T : K \to X$ is said to be asymptotically nonexpansive if and only if there is a sequence $\{k_n\}$ in $[1,\infty)$ such that $\lim k_n = 1$ and*

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq k_n \|x - y\|,$$

*for all $x, y \in K$.*

**Definition 2.6** *Let $A$ and $B$ be nonempty subsets of a Banach space $X$. A mapping $T$ from $A \cup B$ into $X$ is said to be asymptotically relatively nonexpansive if and only if there is a sequence $\{k_n\}$ in $[1,\infty)$ such that $\lim k_n = 1$ and*

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq k_n \|x - y\|,$$

*for $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.*

**Definition 2.7** *Let $(A,B)$ be a nonempty proximal parallel pair in a Banach space. Suppose $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is a map satisfying $T(A) \subseteq B$ and $T(B) \subseteq A$. Define, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in A \cup B$, $u_n(x) = \begin{cases} T^n(x'), & \text{if } n = 2m-1, \\ T^n(x), & \text{if } n = 2m, \end{cases}$ where $x' \in A \cup B$ such that $\|x - x'\| = \operatorname{dist}(A,B)$.*

**Lemma 2.1** *[3] Let $A$ be a nonempty closed convex subset and $B$ be a nonempty closed subset of a uniformly convex Banach space. Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ be sequences in $A$ and $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in $B$ satisfying:*

1. *$\|x_n - y_n\|$ converges to $dist(A,B)$ and*
2. *$\|z_n - y_n\|$ converges to $dist(A,B)$.*

*Then $\|x_n - z_n\|$ converges to zero.*

By observing Lemma 2.1, the following concept was introduced in [13].

**Definition 2.8** *[13] A pair $(A, B)$ of nonempty subsets in a Banach space is said to satisfy the property UC if and only if the following holds:*

*If $\{x_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are sequences in $A$ and $\{y_n\}$ is a sequence in $B$ such that*

$$\lim d(x_n, y_n) = dist(A, B) \text{ and } \lim d(z_n, y_n) = dist(A, B),$$

*then* $\lim d(x_n, z_n) = 0$.

We introduce the following notion.

**Definition 2.9** *Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty convex proximal parallel pair in a Banach space $X$. The pair $(A, B)$ is said to have the rectangle property if and only if*

$$\|x + h - y\| = \|y + h - x\|, \text{ for any } x, y \in A,$$

*where $h \in X$ such that $B = A + h$.*

*It is apparent that the proximal pair $(A, A)$ has the rectangle property.*

**Remark 2.2** *Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty proximal parallel pair in a Banach space $X$. It was proved in [12] that if $X$ is a real Hilbert space, then, for every $x, y \in A$ or $B$, $x - y$ is orthogonal to $h$.*

**Proof** Let $x \in X$. It is known from [9] that if $K$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$, then

$$\langle z - P_K(x),\ P_K(x) - x\rangle \ \geq\ 0,\ for\ every\ z\ \in\ K, \tag{1}$$

where $P_K(x)$ is the best approximant of $x$ from $K$.

Now, let $x \in A$. Then $P_B(x) = x + h$ and hence it follows from eqn.(1) that

$$\langle (y + h) - (x + h),\ x + h - x\rangle \ \geq\ 0,\ for\ every\ y + h\ \in\ B. \tag{2}$$

Similarly, let $x + h \in B$. Then $P_A(x + h) = x$. Thus from eqn.(1)

$$\langle y - x,\ x - (x + h)\rangle \ \geq\ 0, \tag{3}$$

for every $y \in A$. Equations (2) and (3) imply that $\langle y - x, h\rangle = 0$, for $x, y \in A$. □

**Example 2.1** *Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty proximal parallel pair in a real Hilbert space. Then $(A, B)$ has the rectangle property.*

**Proof** It follows from Remark 2.2 that $x - y$ is orthogonal to $h$, for every $x, y \in A$. Hence $\|x-(y+h)\|^2 = \|x-y\|^2+\|h\|^2 = \|x+h-y\|^2$. Thus $(A, B)$ has the rectangle property. □

Next, we give an example of a proximal pair having the rectangle property and the property $UC$ in a $NUC$ space.

**Example 2.2** *Consider the Day space*

$$D_1 = \{x = (x^n) : x^n \in l_n^1, \|x\|_{D_1} := (\Sigma_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(\|x^n\|_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty\},$$

*where $l_n^1$ is the Banach space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|.\|_1$. The standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $e_i^n$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is known from [1] that $D_1$ is a nearly uniformly convex $(NUC)$ Banach space. Note that $D_1$ is not even strictly convex.*

*Consider, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n = (a_n(i))_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in D_1$, where $a_n(i) = \begin{cases} e_1^n \in \mathbb{R}^n, & \text{if } i = n, \\ 0 \in \mathbb{R}^i, & \text{if } i \neq n. \end{cases}$ Let $A = \overline{co}\{a_n : n \geq 2\}$ and $B = A + a_1$. Suppose $x \in A$, then $x = (x(n)e_1^n)$, where $x(n)$ is a non-negative real number and $x(1) = 0$. Similarly, if $y \in B$, then $y = (y(n)e_1^n)$, where $y(n)$ is a non-negative real number and $y(1) = 1$. Now, for $x, y \in A$,*

$$\|x - y\|_{D_1} = (\Sigma_{i=2}^{\infty}(\|(x(i) - y(i))e_1^i\|_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\Sigma_{i=2}^{\infty} \mid x(i) - y(i) \mid^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

*Let $x, y \in A$. Then $x + a_1$ and $y + a_1$ are in $B$ and*

$$\|x + a_1 - y\|_{D_1} = (1 + \Sigma_{i=2}^{\infty}(\|(x(i) - y(i))e_1^i\|_1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

*Hence*

$$\|x + a_1 - y\|_{D_1} = (1 + \|x - y\|_{D_1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|y + a_1 - x\|_{D_1}. \tag{4}$$

*It follows from equation (4) that $(A, B)$ is a proximal parallel pair having the rectangle property and $dist(A, B) = 1$. It is also easy to see from equation (4) that $(A, B)$ has the property $UC$.*

# 3 Main Results

We use the notation $u_n(.)$ as in Definition 2.7.

**Proposition 3.1** *Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty proximal parallel pair having the property $UC$ in a Banach space $X$. Suppose $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is an asymptotically relatively nonexpansive map satisfying $T(A) \subseteq B$ and $T(B) \subseteq A$. Then, for $x \in A$ and some subsequence $\{n_i\}$,*

*$u_{n_i}(x)$ converges weakly to $y$ if and only if $u_{n_i}(x + h)$ converges weakly to $y + h$,*

*where $y \in X$.*

**Proof** It is easy to see that $\lim \|u_n(x) - u_n(x+h)\| = dist(A, B)$, for all $x \in A$. Since $(A, B)$ has the property $UC$, $\lim \|u_n(x) + h - u_n(x + h)\| = 0$.

Suppose $u_{n_i}(x)$ converges weakly to $y$ for some $y \in X$. Then the weak convergence of $u_{n_i}(x + h)$ to $y + h$ follows from $\lim \|u_n(x) + h - u_n(x + h)\| = 0$. □

**Proposition 3.2** *Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty bounded convex proximal parallel pair in a Banach space $X$. Suppose $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is an asymptotically relatively nonexpansive map satisfying $T(A) \subseteq B$ and $T(B) \subseteq A$. Further, assume that $(A, B)$ has the rectangle property and the property $UC$. Define $r_x : B \to \mathbb{R}$ and $r_{y+h} : A \to \mathbb{R}$, respectively, by $r_x(a + h) = \limsup_n \|u_n x - (a + h)\|$ and $r_{y+h}(b) = \limsup_n \|u_n(y + h) - b\|$, for $x$ and $y \in A$. Then*

1. *the functions $r_x(.)$ and $r_{y+h}(.)$ are continuous and convex,*
2. *$r_x(a + h) = r_{x+h}(a)$ and hence $\inf_{y+h \in B} r_x(y + h) = \inf_{z \in A} r_{x+h}(z)$ and*
3. *$r_x(u_n(a + h)) \leq k_n r_x(a + h)$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

**Proof** 1) It is easy to see that the functions $r_x(.)$ and $r_{y+h}(.)$ are continuous and convex.

2) Let $x, a \in A$. Now, the rectangle property of $(A, B)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a + h - u_n(x)\| &= \|a - h - u_n(x)\|. \\ Thus,\ \|a + h - u_n(x)\| &\leq \|a - u_n(x + h)\| + \|u_n(x + h) - (h + u_n(x))\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the pair $(A, B)$ has the property $UC$, $\limsup_n \|u_n(x+h) - (h + u_n(x))\| = 0$. Hence $r_x(a+h) \leq r_{x+h}(a)$. The other inequality, $r_{x+h}(a) \leq r_x(a+h)$, follows exactly the same way. Thus $\inf_{y+h\in B} r_x(y+h) = \inf_{z\in A} r_{x+h}(z)$.

3) Let $n$ be an odd integer. Now, for $m \geq n$ and $m$ is an odd integer

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_m(x) - u_n(a+h)\| &= \|T^m(x+h) - T^n(a)\| \\ &\leq k_n\|a - T^{m-n}(x+h)\| = k_n\|a - u_{m-n}(x+h)\|. \end{aligned}$$

In case that $m$ is an even integer,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_m(x) - u_n(a+h)\| &= \|T^m(x) - T^n(a)\| \\ &\leq k_n\|a - T^{m-n}(x)\| = k_n\|a - u_{m-n}(x+h)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $r_{x+h}(a) = r_x(a+h)$, $r_x(u_n(a+h)) \leq k_n r_x(a+h)$, for every $n = 2k-1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The other case, $n$ is an even integer, can be proved similarly. □

**Lemma 3.1** *Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex proximal parallel pair in a nearly uniformly convex $(NUC)$ Banach space $X$ and $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be an asymptotically relatively nonexpansive map satisfying $T(A) \subseteq B$ and $T(B) \subseteq A$. Further, assume that $(A, B)$ has the rectangle property and the property $UC$. Suppose $(K_1, K_2)$ is a subset of $(A, B)$ which is minimal with respect to being nonempty, closed, and convex proximal pair satisfying:*

$$x \in K_1 \Longrightarrow \omega_w(x) \subseteq K_1, \tag{5}$$

*where $\omega_w(x)$ is the set of all limit points of the sequence $\{u_n(x)\}$ w. r. to the weak topology on $X$. Then $r_x(y+h) = r_{y+h}(x)$, for every $(x, y+h) \in K_1 \times K_2$, where $r_x(.)$ and $r_{y+h}(.)$ are as in Proposition 3.2.*

*In this case, the constant $r_x(y+h) = r_{y+h}(x)$ is denoted by $r$.*

**Proof** It is easy to see from Propostion 3.2 that the functions $r_x(.)$, and $r_{y+h}(.)$ are lower semi continuous w. r. to the weak topology on $X$. Since $(K_1, K_2)$ is a weakly compact convex pair, there exists $(x_0, y_0 + h)$ such that $r_x := r_x(y_0 + h)$ and $r_{y+h} := r_{y+h}(x_0)$, where $r_x = \inf_{y+h\in K_2} r_x(y+h)$ and $r_{y+h} = \inf_{x\in K_1} r_{y+h}(x)$.

Define $F_1 := \{y \in K_1 : r_{x+h}(y) \leq r_{x+h}\}$ and $F_2 := \{z \in K_2 : r_x(z) \leq r_x\}$ for some $x \in K_1$. As the functions $r_x(.)$ and $r_{y+h}(.)$ are lower semicontinuous, the pair $(F_1, F_2)$ is nonempty. Also, it follows from Proposition 3.2 that $(F_1, F_2)$ is a proximal pair.

Now, it is claimed that $y \in F_1$ implies that $\omega_w(y) \subseteq F_1$. Let $z \in \omega_w(y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} r_{x+h}(z) &\leq \liminf_{n_i} r_{x+h}(u_{n_i} y) \leq \limsup_{n} r_{x+h}(u_n(y)) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n} k_n(r_{x+h}(y)) = r_{x+h}(y) = r_{x+h}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(K_1, K_2)$ is a minimal pair, $r_x(y+h) = r_x = r_{x+h}(y)$, for every $y \in K_1$.

Let $z + h \in \omega_w(y + h)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} r_x = r_x(z+h) &= \limsup_{n} \|z + h - u_n(x)\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{n} k_n\{\limsup_{m} \|x - u_{m-n}(y+h)\|\} \\ &= r_{y+h}(x) = r_{y+h}. \end{aligned}$$

The other inequality, $r_{y+h} \leq r_x$, can be proved in a similar manner.

Hence $r_x(y+h) = r_{y+h}(x)$, for every $(x, y+h) \in K_1 \times K_2$. □

**Lemma 3.2** *Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex proximal parallel pair in a nearly uniformly convex $(NUC)$ Banach space $X$ and $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be a continuous and asymptotically relatively nonexpansive map satisfying $T(A) \subseteq B$ and $T(B) \subseteq A$. Further, assume that $(A, B)$ has the rectangle property and the property $UC$. Suppose $(K_1, K_2)$ is a subset of $(A, B)$ which is minimal with respect to being nonempty, closed, and convex proximal pair satisfying:*

1. *$x \in K_1 \Longrightarrow \omega_w(x) \subseteq K_1$, where $\omega_w(x)$ is as in Lemma 3.1,*
2. *for each $x \in K_1$, every subsequence of $\{u_n(x)\}$ admits a norm convergent subsequence and*
3. *for each $x \in K_1$, $\omega(x)$ is a norm compact set, where $\omega(x)$ is the set of all limit points of the sequence $\{u_n(x)\}$ w. r. to the norm topology.*

*Then there exists $x \in K_1$ such that $\|x - Tx\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$.*

**Proof** It is to be noted that the property $UC$ of $(A, B)$ and Proposition 3.1 imply that $\omega_w(x+h) = \omega_w(x) + h$ and $\omega(x+h) = \omega(x) + h$, for every $x \in A$.

If $K_1 = \{x_0\}$, then $u_n(x_0)$ converges to $x_0$ and hence the continuity of $T$ implies that $u_n(x_0 + h)$ converges to $T(x_0)$. Since $\omega(x_0 + h) = \omega(x_0) + h$, $T(x_0) = x_0 + h$.

It is claimed that $\delta(K_1) = 0$. Suppose $\delta(K_1) > 0$.

Fix $x \in K_1$, define $F_1 := \omega(x)$ and $F_2 := F_1 + h$. Then $(F_1, F_2)$ is a proximal parallel pair. As $T$ is continuous, $(T(F_2), T(F_1)) \subseteq (F_1, F_2)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all nonempty and closed proximal pair subsets $(H_1, H_2)$ of $(F_1, F_2)$ which satisfies:

$$x \in H_1 \Longrightarrow \omega(x) \subseteq H_1.$$

Define a relation on $\mathcal{F}$ by $(H_1, H_2) \leq (D_1, D_2)$ if and only if $(H_1, H_2) \subseteq (D_1, D_2)$.

It is easy to see that every nonempty totally ordered subset $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ has a lower bound. Hence by Zorn's lemma, we obtain a minimal proximal pair $(H_1, H_2)$ which satisfies $(T(H_2), T(H_1)) = (H_1, H_2)$, that is, $(u_1(H_1), u_1(H_2)) = (H_1, H_2)$ and hence, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(u_n(H_1), u_n(H_2)) = (H_1, H_2)$.

Now, it is easy to see that $H_1$ is a singleton set. For, since $(H_1, H_2)$ is a compact proximal parallel pair, $(\overline{co}H_1, \overline{co}H_2)$ is a compact convex proximal parallel pair and hence there exists $(x, y + h) \in (\overline{co}H_1, \overline{co}H_2)$ such that

$$\delta(x, H_2) < \delta(H_1, H_2) \text{ and } \delta(y + h, H_1) < \delta(H_1, H_2).$$

Define $D_1 = \{y \in H_1 : \delta(y, H_2) \leq \alpha\}$ and $D_2 = \{z \in H_2 : \delta(z, H_1) \leq \alpha\}$, where $\alpha = \delta(x, H_2)$. Since $(A, B)$ has the rectangle property, $(D_1, D_2)$ is a nonempty closed proximal parallel pair. Also, it is apparent that $(D_1, D_2) \subsetneqq (H_1, H_2)$.

Now, it is claimed that $x \in D_1 \Longrightarrow \omega(x) \subseteq D_1$. Let $x \in D_1$ and $y = \|.\|-\lim u_{n_i}(x)$ for some subsequence $\{n_i\}$.

Since $(u_n(H_1), u_n(H_2)) = (H_1, H_2)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_n \in H_1 \cup H_2$ such that $u_n(x_n) = x$ for every $x \in H_1 \cup H_2$. Now, let $z \in H_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - z\| &= \lim \|u_{n_i}(x) - z\| \leq \limsup \|u_n(x) - u_n(z_n)\| \\ &\leq \limsup k_n \|x - z_n\| \leq \delta(x, H_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\delta(y, H_2) \leq \delta(x, H_2)$ and $y \in D_1$. This shows that $\delta(H_1) = 0$ and so is $K_1$. $\square$

**Theorem 3.1** *Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex proximal parallel pair in a nearly uniformly convex $(NUC)$ Banach space. Suppose $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is a*

*continuous and asymptotically relatively nonexpansive map satisfying* $T(A) \subseteq B$ *and* $T(B) \subseteq A$. *Further, assume that* $(A, B)$ *has the rectangle property and the property* $UC$. *Then there exists* $x \in A \cup B$ *such that* $\|x - Tx\| = dist(A, B)$.

**Proof** In view of Lemma 3.2, it is enough to prove that $(A, B)$ has a minimal proximal parallel pair $(K_1, K_2)$ which satisfies:

1. $x \in K_1 \Longrightarrow \omega_w(x) \subseteq K_1$, where $\omega_w(x)$ is the set of all limit points of the sequence $\{u_n(x)\}$ w. r. to the weak topology on $X$,

2. for each $x \in K_1$, every subsequence of $\{u_n(x)\}$ admits a convergent subsequence w. r. to the norm topology and

3. for each $x \in K_1$, $\omega(x)$ is a norm compact set.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all nonemtpy closed convex proximal parallel pair subset $(K_1, K_2)$ of $(A, B)$ satisfying:

$$x \in K_1 \Longrightarrow \omega_w(x) \subseteq K_1$$

Define a relation $\leq$ on $\mathcal{F}$ by $(H_1, H_2) \leq (K_1, K_2)$ if and only if $(H_1, H_2) \subseteq (K_1, K_2)$. It is easy to see that every nonempty totally ordered subset of $\mathcal{F}$ has a lower bound. Hence by Zorn's Lemma $\mathcal{F}$ has a minimal closed convex proximal parallel pair $(K_1, K_2)$ satisfying $x \in K_1 \Longrightarrow \omega_w(x) \subseteq K_1$.

It is claimed that $K_1 = \{x\}$ for some $x \in A$.

If $r = d$, where $r = r_x(y + h) = r_{y+h}(z)$ ($r_x(.)$ and $r_{y+h}(.)$ are as in Proposition 3.1), for $x, y$ and $z$ in $K_1$ and $d := dist(A, B)$, then, for every $x \in K_1$, $u_n(x)$ converges to $y$ for every $y \in K_1$. Hence $K_1 = \{x\}$ for some $x \in A$ and the continuity of $T$ implies that $Tx = x + h$.

Now, suppose that $r > d$ and, for some $x \in K_1$, there exists a sequence $\{m_i\}$ such that $u_{m_i}(x)$ does not have any norm convergent subsequence. As $\{u_{m_i}(x)\}$ is not compact, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and a sequence $\{l_i\}$ such that $\|u_{m_{l_i}}(x) - u_{m_{l_j}}(x)\| \geq \epsilon$, for every $i \neq j$.

Let $y_i = u_{m_{l_i}}(x)$. Since $K_1$ is weakly compact, $\{y_i\}$ has a weakly convergent subsequence. We assume that $y_i$ converges weakly to $y_0$ for some $y_0 \in K_1$.

Since $X$ is a NUC space, there exists $\delta \in \ ]0,1[$ corresponding to $\frac{\epsilon}{2r}$ such that $r(1-\delta) < r$. Now, choose $\epsilon_1 \in \ ]0, r[$ such that $r_1 := (r+\epsilon_1)(1-\delta) < r$.

Since $r_x(x+h) = r$ and $\lim k_n = 1$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|x+h-u_n(x)\| \leq r + \tfrac{\epsilon_1}{2} \text{ and } (k_n - 1)(r + \tfrac{\epsilon_1}{2}) \leq \tfrac{\epsilon_1}{2}, \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

Let $n \geq N$ be fixed. Now, for every $i \geq n+N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_i - u_n(x+h)\| &= \|u_{m_{l_i}}(x) - u_n(x+h)\| \\ &\leq k_n \|x+h-u_{m_{l_i}-n}(x)\| \leq r+\epsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $z_i = \frac{y_i - u_n(x+h)}{r+\epsilon_1}$, for every $i \geq n+N$. Then $z_i \in B[0,1]$, $z_i$ converges weakly to $\frac{y_0 - u_n(x+h)}{r+\epsilon_1}$, for every fixed $n \geq N$ and $sep\{z_i\} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{r+\epsilon_1}$.

Hence $\|y_0 - u_n(x+h)\| \leq (r+\epsilon_1)(1-\delta)$, for all $n \geq N$. Therefore,

$$r := \limsup_n \|y_0 - u_n(x+h)\| \leq (r+\epsilon_1)(1-\delta) < r.$$

This contradiction shows that every subsequence of $\{u_n(x)\}$ admits a norm convergent subsequence, for every $x \in K_1$.

Now, it is claimed that, for every $x \in K_1$, $\omega(x)$ is a norm compact set.

Suppose that $\omega(x)$ is not a norm compact set for some $x \in K_1$. Then there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $\omega(x)$ such that $sep\{y_n\} \geq \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Since $y_k \in \omega(x)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|y_k - u_{n_k}(x)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Note that $n_k$ can be chosen such that $n_k < n_{k+1}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $z_k = u_{n_k}(x)$. Then from triangle inequality it follows that $sep\{z_k\} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Thus $\{z_k\}$ does not admit any norm convergent subsequence, a contradiction to every subsequence of $\{u_n(x)\}$ admits a norm convergent subsequence. □

The following example illustrates Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.1** *Consider the series* $\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n+1}\frac{1}{n}$, *define* $b_n := \frac{1}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2n} > 0$. *Then* $\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ *converges to* $log_e 2$. *Now, let* $c_{n+1} = (1/e^{b_n})$, *for* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then* $0 < c_n < 1$ *and* $\Pi_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n = \frac{1}{2}$.

*Let* $a_n = (a_n(i))_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in D_1$, *where* $a_n(i) = \begin{cases} e_1^n \in \mathbb{R}^n, & \text{if } i = n, \\ 0 \in \mathbb{R}^i, & \text{if } i \neq n. \end{cases}$

*Let* $A = \overline{co}\{a_n : n \geq 2\}$, *and* $B = A + a_1$. *In Example 2.2, it is shown that* $(A, B)$ *is*

*a bounded closed convex proximal parallel pair having the rectangle property and the property UC in the nearly uniformly convex (NUC) Banach space $D_1$.*

*Define* $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ *by* $T(x) = \begin{cases} (1, 0, x(2)^2 e_1^3, c_2(x(3)e_1^4), ...), & \text{if } x \in A, \\ (0, 0, x(2)^2 e_1^3, c_2(x(3)e_1^4), ...), & \text{if } x \in B. \end{cases}$

*It is clear that* $T$ *is a continuous map satisfying* $T(A) \subseteq B$ *and* $T(B) \subseteq A$. *Also, for* $(x, y) \in A \times B$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\|_{D_1} &= (1 + \|(x(2)^2 - y(2)^2)e_1^3\|_1^2 + \|c_2(x(3) - y(3))e_1^4\|_1^2 + ...)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 2(1 + \mid x(2) - y(2) \mid^2 + \mid x(3) - y(3) \mid^2 + ...) = 2\|x - y\|_{D_1}. \end{aligned}$$

*Similarly, it can be shown that* $\|T^n(x) - T^n(y)\|_{D_1} \leq 2(\Pi_{i=2}^n c_i)\|x - y\|_{D_1}$, *for* $n \geq 2$ *and* $(x, y) \in A \times B$. *Thus,* $T$ *is an asymptotically relatively nonexpansive map. Hence from Theorem 3.1 it follows that* $T$ *has a best proximity point in* $A \cup B$.

*Indeed,* $(0, (0, 0), (0, 0, 0), ...) \in A$ *is a best proximity point of* $T$. □

**Theorem 3.2** *Let* $(A, B)$ *be a nonempty bounded closed convex proximal parallel pair in a nearly uniformly convex (NUC) Banach space. Suppose* $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ *is a continuous and asymptotically relatively nonexpansive map satisfying* $T(A) \subseteq A$ *and* $T(B) \subseteq B$. *Further, assume that* $(A, B)$ *has the rectangle property and the property UC. Then there exist* $x \in A$ *and* $y \in B$ *such that* $Tx = x$, $Ty = y$ *and* $\|x - y\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$.

**Proof** This can be proved in a similar way as Theorem 3.1. □

If $A = B$, then we obtain the next result, which is Corollary 1 in [14], from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 3.1** *[14] Let* $X$ *be a nearly uniformly convex Banach space and* $A$ *be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of* $X$. *Suppose* $T : A \to A$ *is an asymptotically nonexpansive map. Then* $T$ *has a fixed point in* $A$.

It is known that every uniformly convex Banach space is a nearly uniformly convex (NUC) space. Hence we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.2** *[7] Let* $A$ *be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space* $X$ *and* $T : A \to A$ *be an asymptotically nonexpansive map. Then* $T$ *has a fixed point in* $A$.

**Acknowledgements** The authors thank the referees for the suggestions and comments. The first author, S. Rajesh, thanks the University Grants Commission (India) for the financial support provided as a form of Research Fellowship to carry out this research work at Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai.

# References

[1] J. M. Ayerbe, T. Domínguez Benavides, and G. López Acedo (1997). *Measures of Noncompactness in Metric Fixed Point Theory*. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 99. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel.

[2] A. A. Eldred, W. A. Kirk, and P. Veeramani (2005). *Proximal normal structure and relatively nonexpansive mappings*. Studia Math. **171**, 283-293.

[3] A. A. Eldred, and P. Veeramani (2006). *Existence and Convergence of best proximity points*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **323**, 1001-1006.

[4] R. Espínola (2008). *A new approach to relatively nonexpansive mappings*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136**, 1987-1995.

[5] R. Espínola, and Gabeleh, Moosa (2013). *On the structure of minimal sets of relatively nonexpansive mappings*. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **34**, 845-860.

[6] Fernández-León, Aurora (2014). *Best proximity points for proximal contractions.* J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **15**, 313-324.

[7] K. Goebel, and W.A. Kirk (1972). *A fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **35**, 171-174.

[8] K. Goebel, and W.A. Kirk (1990). *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

[9] M. A. Khamsi, and W. A. Kirk (2001). *An introduction to metric spaces and fixed point theory*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience, New York.

[10] S. Sadiq Basha, N. Shahzad, and R. Jeyaraj (2013). *Best proximity points: approximation and optimization.* Optim. Lett. **7**, 145-155.

[11] G. Sankara Raju Kosuru, and P. Veeramani (2011). *A note on existence and convergence of best proximity points for pointwise cyclic contractions.* Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **32**, 821-830.

[12] G. Sankara Raju Kosuru (2012). *Existence of best proximity points for certain classes of cyclic mappings: Ph. D. Thesis*. Indian Institute of Technology Madras, India.

[13] T. Suzuki, M. Kikkawa, and C. Vetro (2009). *The existence of best proximity points in metric spaces with the UC property*. Nonlinea Anal. **71**, 2918-2926.

[14] H. K. Xu (1991). *Existence and convergence for fixed points of mappings of asymptotically nonexpansive type*. Nonlinear Anal. **16**, 1139-1146.